

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/565,278	Applicant(s) SCHMUTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner KATHERINE SALMON	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) KATHERINE SALMON.

(2) Susan Rancourt.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
16-19

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

/Katherine Salmon/
Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Confirmed with Ms. Rancourt that claims 16-19 should be cancelled. It is noted that the amendments to the claims filed 4/13/2009 lists the claims as "withdrawn", however, the response to arguments filed 4/13/2009 indicates that the claims are cancelled. Ms. Rancourt confirmed that Claims 16-19 are cancelled. .